

# The obstacle problem for non-coercive equations with lower order term and $L^1$ -data

Jun Zheng

*School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 611756, China*

---

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the obstacle problem associated with an elliptic operator having degenerate coercivity, and with a low order term and  $L^1$ -data. We prove the existence of an entropy solution to the obstacle problem and show its continuous dependence on the  $L^1$ -data in  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  with some  $q > 1$ .

*Key words:* obstacle problem; non-coercive equation; entropy solution;  $L^1$ -data; lower order term.

---

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Problem setting and main result

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ),  $1 < p < +\infty$  and  $\theta \geq 0$ . Given functions  $g, \psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  and data  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , the aim of this paper is to study the obstacle problem for nonlinear non-coercive elliptic equations with lower order term, governed by the operator

$$Au = -\operatorname{div} \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} + b|u|^{r-2}u, \quad (1)$$

where  $b > 0$  is a constant, and  $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a Carathéodory function, satisfying the following conditions:

$$a(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^p, \quad (2)$$

$$|a(x, \xi)| \leq \beta(j(x) + |\xi|^{p-1}), \quad (3)$$

$$(a(x, \xi) - a(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad (4)$$

$$|a(x, \xi) - a(x, \zeta)| \leq \gamma \begin{cases} |\xi - \zeta|^{p-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2 \\ (1 + |\xi| + |\zeta|)^{p-2}|\xi - \zeta|, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \end{cases}, \quad (5)$$

for almost every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ , and for every  $\xi, \eta, \zeta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $\xi \neq \eta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$  are constants, and  $j$  is a nonnegative function in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ .

If  $f$  has a fine regularity, i.e.,  $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ , the obstacle problem corresponding to  $(f, \psi, g)$  can be formulated in terms of the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \cdot \nabla(u - v) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2}u(u - v) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(u - v) dx, \quad \forall v \in K_{g,\psi} \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (6)$$

---

*Email address:* zhengjun@swjtu.edu.cn (Jun Zheng).

whenever  $1 \leq r < p$  and the convex subset

$$K_{g,\psi} = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); v - g \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), v \geq \psi, \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$$

is nonempty. However, if  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , (6) is not well-defined, and following [1,3,6] etc., we are led to the more general definition of a solution to the obstacle problem, using the truncation function

$$T_s(t) = \max\{-s, \min\{s, t\}\}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Definition 1** An entropy solution of the obstacle problem associated corresponding to operator  $A$  and functions  $(f, \psi, g)$  with  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  is a measurable function  $u$  such that  $u \geq \psi$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $\frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \in (L^1(\Omega))^N$ ,  $|u|^{r-1} \in L^1(\Omega)$ , and, for every  $s > 0$ ,  $T_s(u) - T_s(g) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \cdot \nabla(T_s(u-v))dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2}uT_s(u-v)dx \leq \int_{\Omega} fT_s(u-v)dx, \quad \forall v \in K_{g,\psi} \cap L^\infty(\Omega). \quad (7)$$

Observe that no global integrability condition is required on  $u$  nor on its gradient in the definition. As pointed out in [3,9], if  $T_s(u) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for all  $s > 0$ , then there exists a unique measurable vector field  $U : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\nabla(T_s(u)) = \chi_{\{|u|<s\}}U$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $s > 0$ , which, in fact, coincides with the standard distributional gradient of  $\nabla u$  whenever  $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ .

Before stating the main result, we make some basic assumptions throughout this paper, i.e., without special statements, we always assume that

$$2 - \frac{1}{N} < p < N, \quad 1 \leq r < p, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \min\left\{\frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{1}{p-1}, \frac{p-r}{p-1}\right\}, \quad b > 0,$$

and  $\psi, g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $(\psi - g)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $K_{g,\psi} \neq \emptyset$ . The following theorem is the main result obtained in this paper.

**Theorem 1** Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then there exists at least one entropy solution  $u$  of the obstacle problem associated with  $(f, \psi, g)$ . In addition,  $u$  depends continuously on  $f$ , i.e., if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and  $u_n$  is a solution to the obstacle problem associated with  $(f_n, \psi, g)$ , then

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,q}(\Omega), \quad \forall q \in \begin{cases} \left(\frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1}, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}\right), & \text{if } \frac{2N-1}{N-1} \leq r < p, \\ \left(1, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}\right), & \text{if } 1 \leq r < \min\left\{\frac{2N-1}{N-1}, p\right\}. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

## 1.2 Some comments and remarks

Consider the Dirichlet boundary value problem having a form

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} + bu = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

with  $p > 1, \theta \in (0, 1], b \geq 0, f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . The item  $\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$  is not coercive if  $u$  is very large. Due to this, the classical methods used to prove the existence of a solution for elliptic equations, i.e., [15], cannot be applied even if  $b = 0$  and the data  $f$  is regular. Moreover,  $\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$  and  $u$  and  $f$  are only in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , not in  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ . All these characteristics prevent us employing the duality argument [18] or nonlinear monotone operator theory [19] directly.

To overcome these difficulties, cutting the noncoercivity term and using the technique of approximation, a pseudomonotone and coercive differential operator on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  can be applied to establish *a priori* estimates on approximating solutions. As

a result, existence of solutions, or entropy solutions, can be obtained by taking limitation for  $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $b > 0$  due to the almost everywhere convergence for the gradients of the approximating solutions, see e.g., [4,7,10–12,16] ( see also [1,2,8,13,14,17] for  $b = 0$ ).

Motivated by the study on the non-coercive elliptic equations (9), we consider in this paper the obstacle problem governed by (1) and functions  $(f, \psi, g)$  with  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . We prove the existence of an entropy solution and show its continuous dependence on the  $L^1$ -data in  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  with some  $q \in (1, p)$ .

In the following, we give some remarks on our main result and inequalities that will be needed in the proofs. Some notations are provided in the end of this subsection.

**Remark 1** (i)  $0 \leq \theta < \min \left\{ \frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{1}{p-1}, \frac{p-r}{p-1} \right\} \Rightarrow r-1 < (1-\theta)(p-1) < \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}$ . Therefore Theorem 1 guarantees  $|u|^{r-1} \in L^1(\Omega)$ , and the second integration in (7) makes sense.

(ii) We will show that  $\frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \in (L^1(\Omega))^N$  in Proposition 4. Therefore, the first integration in (7) makes sense.

(ii)  $\left( \frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1}, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)} \right) \subset \left( 1, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)} \right)$  if  $\frac{2N-1}{N-1} \leq r < p$ . Indeed,  $\theta < \frac{p-r}{p-1} + \frac{p(r-1)}{N(p-1)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)} > \frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1}$ , while  $\frac{2N-1}{N-1} \leq r$  gives  $\frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1} \geq 1$ . Thus  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  for all  $q \in (1, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)})$ .

(iii)  $r-1 < \frac{Nq}{N-q}$ . Indeed, by  $1 \leq r < \frac{2N-1}{N-1}$ , there holds  $r-1 < \frac{N}{N-1} < \frac{Nq}{N-q}$  for any  $q > 1$ , particularly, for  $q \in (1, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)})$ . For  $r \geq \frac{2N-1}{N-1}$ , it suffices to note that  $q > \frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1} \Leftrightarrow r-1 < \frac{Nq}{N-q}$ .

(iv)  $q < p$ . Indeed,  $0 \leq \theta < \frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{1}{p-1} < \frac{N-1}{p-1} \Rightarrow \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)} < p$ .

**Remark 2** Checking proofs of this paper, one may find that, for  $b = 0$ , (8) holds with

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,q}(\Omega), \forall q \in \left( 1, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)} \right). \quad (10)$$

Indeed, it suffices to set  $r = 1$  in the proofs.

## Notations

$\|u\|_p = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$  is the norm of  $L^p(\Omega)$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .  $\|u\|_{1,p} = \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$  is the norm of  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ .  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ .  $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$ ,  $u^- = (-u)^+$ , if  $u$  is a real-valued function.  $C$  is a constant, which may be different from each other.  $\{u > s\} = \{x \in \Omega; u(x) > s\}$ .  $\{u \leq s\} = \Omega \setminus \{u > s\}$ .  $\{u < s\} = \{x \in \Omega; u(x) < s\}$ .  $\{u \geq s\} = \Omega \setminus \{u < s\}$ .  $\{u = s\} = \{x \in \Omega; u(x) = s\}$ .  $\{t \leq u < s\} = \{u \geq t\} \cap \{u < s\}$ .  $\mathcal{L}^N$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .  $|E| = \mathcal{L}^N(E)$  for a measurable set  $E$ .

## 2 Lemmas on entropy solutions

It is worthy to note that, for any smooth function  $f_n$ , there exists at least one solution to the obstacle problem (6). Indeed, one can proceed exactly as in [1,12] to obtain  $W^{1,p}$ -solutions due to the assumptions (2)-(4) on  $a$  and  $r-1 < p$ . These solutions, in particular, are also entropy solutions. In this section we establish several auxiliary results on convergence of sequences of entropy solutions when  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 2** Let  $v_0 \in K_{g,\psi} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and let  $u$  be an entropy solution of the obstacle problem associated with  $(f, \psi, g)$ . Then, we have

$$\int_{\{|u| < t\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \leq C(1+t^r), \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\alpha, \beta, p, r, b, \|j\|_{p'}, \|\nabla v_0\|_p, \|v_0\|_\infty$ , and  $\|f\|_1$ .

**Proof** Take  $v_0$  as a test function in (7). For  $t$  large enough such that  $t - \|v_0\|_\infty > 0$ , we get

$$\int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \leq \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v_0}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx + \int_{\Omega} (f - b|u|^{r-2}u) T_t(u - v_0) dx. \quad (11)$$

We estimate each integration in the right-hand side of (11). It follows from (3) and Young's inequality with  $\varepsilon > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v_0}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx &\leq \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{\beta(|j| + |\nabla u|^{p-1}) \cdot |\nabla v_0|}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{\beta\varepsilon(|j|^{p'} + |\nabla u|^p)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx + \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{\beta C(\varepsilon) |\nabla v_0|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx + C(\|j\|_{p'}^{p'} + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$-\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2}u T_t(u - v_0) dx = -\int_{\{|u-v_0|\leq t\}} b|u|^{r-2}u T_t(u - v_0) dx - \int_{\{|u-v_0|>t\}} b|u|^{r-2}u T_t(u - v_0) dx. \quad (13)$$

Note that on the set  $\{|u - v_0| \leq t\}$ ,

$$|u|^{r-2}u T_t(u - v_0) \leq t|t + \|v_0\|_\infty|^{r-1} \leq C(1 + t^r), \quad (14)$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $r, \|v_0\|_\infty$ .

On the set  $\{|u - v_0| > t\}$ , we have  $|u| \geq t - \|v_0\|_\infty > 0$ , thus  $u$  and  $T_t(u - v_0)$  have the same sign. It flows

$$-\int_{\{|u-v_0|>t\}} b|u|^{r-2}u T_t(u - v_0) dx \leq 0. \quad (15)$$

Combining (13)-(15), we get

$$-\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2}u T_t(u - v_0) dx \leq C(1 + t^r). \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx &\leq C(\|j\|_{p'}^{p'} + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p + t\|f\|_1 + 1 + t^r) \\ &\leq C(1 + t^r). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Replacing  $t$  with  $t + \|v_0\|_\infty$  in (17) and noting that  $\{|u| < t\} \subset \{|v_0 - u| < t + \|v_0\|_\infty\}$ , one may obtain the desired result. ■

In the rest of this section, let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence of entropy solutions of the obstacle problem associated with  $(f_n, \psi, g)$  and assume that

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \|f_n\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + 1.$$

**Lemma 3** *There exists a measurable function  $u$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in measure, and  $T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$  weakly in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $k > 0$ . Thus  $T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u)$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and a.e. in  $\Omega$ .*

**Proof** Let  $s, t$  and  $\varepsilon$  be positive numbers. One may verify that for every  $m, n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n - u_m| > s\}) \leq \mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) + \mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_m| > t\}) + \mathcal{L}^N(\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u_m)| > s\}), \quad (18)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) = \frac{1}{t^p} \int_{\{|u_n| > t\}} t^p dx \leq \frac{1}{t^p} \int_{\Omega} |T_t(u_n)|^p dx. \quad (19)$$

Due to  $v_0 = g + (\psi - g)^+ \in K_{g,\psi} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , by Lemma 2, one has

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_t(u_n)|^p dx = \int_{\{|u_n| < t\}} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \leq C(1+t)^{\theta(p-1)}(1+t^r). \quad (20)$$

Note that  $T_t(u_n) - T_t(g) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . By (19), (20) and Poincaré's inequality, for every  $t > \|g\|_\infty$  and for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $n$  and  $t$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) &\leq \frac{1}{t^p} \int_{\Omega} |T_t(u_n)|^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{t^p} \int_{\Omega} |T_t(u_n) - T_t(g)|^p dx + \frac{2^{p-1}}{t^p} \|g\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_t(u_n) - \nabla T_t(g)|^p dx + \frac{2^{p-1}}{t^p} \|g\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_t(u_n)|^p dx + \frac{C}{t^p} \|g\|_{1,p}^p \\ &\leq \frac{C(1+t^{r+\theta(p-1)})}{t^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 \leq \theta < \frac{p-r}{p-1}$ , there exists  $t_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall t \geq t_\varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (21)$$

Now we have as in (19)

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)| > s\}) = \frac{1}{s^p} \int_{\{|T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)| > s\}} s^p dx \leq \frac{1}{s^p} \int_{\Omega} |T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)|^p dx. \quad (22)$$

Using (20) and the fact that  $T_t(u_n) - T_t(g) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  again, we see that  $\{T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n)\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Thus, up to a subsequence,  $\{T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n)\}$  converges strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Taking into account (22), there exists  $n_0 = n_0(t_\varepsilon, s) \geq 1$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)| > s\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0. \quad (23)$$

Combining (18), (21) and (23), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n - u_m| > s\}) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0.$$

Hence  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in measure, and therefore there exists a measurable function  $u$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in measure. The remainder of the lemma is a consequence of the fact that  $\{T_k(u_n)\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . ■

**Proposition 4** *There exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  and a measurable function  $u$  such that for each  $q$  given in (8), we have*

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } W^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

*If moreover  $0 \leq \theta < \min\{\frac{1}{N-p+1}, \frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{1}{p-1}, \frac{p-r}{p-1}\}$ , then*

$$\frac{a(x, \nabla u_n)}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \rightarrow \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \text{ strongly in } (L^1(\Omega))^N.$$

To prove Proposition 4, we need two preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 5** *There exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  and a measurable function  $u$  such that for each  $q$  given in (8), we have  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^q(\Omega)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $k > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Define  $D_k = \{|u_n| \leq k\}$  and  $B_k = \{k \leq |u_n| < k+1\}$ . Using Lemma 2 with  $v_0 = g + (\psi - g)^+$ , we get

$$\int_{D_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \leq C(1 + k^r), \quad (24)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\alpha, \beta, b, p, r, \|j\|_{p'}, \|f\|_1, \|\nabla v_0\|_p$ , and  $\|v_0\|_\infty$ .

Using the function  $T_k(u_n)$  for  $k > \{\|g\|_\infty, \|\psi\|_\infty\}$ , as a test function for the problem associated with  $(f_n, \psi, g)$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla (T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)))}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx,$$

which and (2) give

$$\int_{B_k} \frac{\alpha |\nabla u_n|^p}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx \leq \|f_n\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + 1.$$

Note that on the set  $\{|u_n| \geq k+1\}$ ,  $u_n$  and  $T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))$  have the same sign. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx &= \int_{D_k} |u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx + \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{|u_n| \geq k+1\}} |u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx \\ &\geq \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_k} \frac{\alpha |\nabla u_n|^p}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx &\leq \|f\|_1 + 1 - \int_{B_k} b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 + 1 + \int_{B_k} b|u_n|^{r-1} dx \\ &\leq C \left( 1 + \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{r-1}{q^*}} |B_k|^{1-\frac{r-1}{q^*}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $q$  is given in (8) and  $q^* = \frac{Nq}{N-q}$ .

Let  $s = \frac{q\theta(p-1)}{p}$ . Note that  $q < p$  and  $\frac{ps}{p-q} < q^*$ . For  $\forall k > 0$ , we estimate  $\int_{B_k} |\nabla u_n|^q dx$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_k} |\nabla u_n|^q dx &= \int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^q}{(1 + |u_n|)^s} \cdot (1 + |u_n|)^s dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{B_k} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{ps}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{\frac{ps}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left( \int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{s}{q^*}} |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*}} \right) \\
&\leq C \left( |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*}} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{s}{q^*}} + |B_k|^{1-p_1} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |B_k|^{1-p_2} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_2} \right) \quad \text{by (25)} \\
&= C \left( |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*}} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{s}{q^*}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |B_k|^{1-p_1-C_1} |B_k|^{C_1} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |B_k|^{1-p_2-C_2} |B_k|^{C_2} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $p_1 = \frac{q}{p} \frac{r-1}{q^*}$ ,  $p_2 = \frac{s}{q^*} + \frac{q}{p} \frac{r-1}{q^*}$ ,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants to be chosen later.

Note that  $\theta < \frac{p-r}{p-1}$ , it follows

$$\frac{\theta(p-1)}{p} + \frac{r-1}{p} < \frac{p-1}{p} < 1 - \frac{1}{N} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^*}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\theta q(p-1)}{p} + \frac{q(r-1)}{p} + 1 < q + \frac{q}{q^*} \Leftrightarrow s + \frac{q(r-1)}{p} + 1 < q + \frac{q}{q^*} \Leftrightarrow p_2 + \frac{1-p_2}{q^*+1} < \frac{q}{q^*}.$$

Note that  $p_1 < p_2 < 1$ . Then for  $i = 1, 2$ , we always have

$$p_i + \frac{1-p_i}{q^*+1} < \frac{q}{q^*} < 1.$$

From this, we may find positive  $C_i (i = 1, 2)$  such that

$$p_i + \frac{1-p_i}{q^*+1} < p_i + C_i < \frac{q}{q^*} < 1, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (26)$$

It follows

$$\frac{1-p_i}{q^*+1} < C_i \Leftrightarrow 1-p_i-C_i < C_i q^*, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

which implies

$$C_i \alpha_i q^* = \frac{C_i q^*}{1-p_i-C_i} > 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (27)$$

with  $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{1-p_i-C_i} > 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\beta_i = \frac{1}{p_i+C_i} > 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then we have  $\frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \frac{1}{\beta_i} = 1 (i = 1, 2)$ .

Since  $|B_k| \leq \frac{1}{k^{q^*}} \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx$ ,  $|B_k|^{1-p_1-C_1} \leq |\Omega|^{1-p_1-C_1}$  and  $|B_k|^{1-p_2-C_2} \leq |\Omega|^{1-p_2-C_2}$ , we have for  $k \geq k_0 \geq 1$

$$\int_{B_k} |\nabla u_n|^q dx \leq \frac{C}{k^{q^* \left( \frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*} \right)}} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + \frac{C}{k^{q^* C_1}} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_1+C_1} + \frac{C}{k^{q^* C_2}} \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_2+C_2}.$$

Summing up from  $k = k_0$  to  $k = K$  and using Hölder's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=k_0}^K \int_{B_k} |\nabla u_n|^q dx &\leq C \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* \left( \frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*} \right) \frac{p}{q}}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\
&\quad + C \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* C_1 \alpha_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\beta_1 (p_1 + C_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_1}} \\
&\quad + C \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* C_2 \alpha_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \left( \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\beta_2 (p_2 + C_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}} \\
&= C \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* \left( \frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*} \right) \frac{p}{q}}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\
&\quad + C \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* C_1 \alpha_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_1 + C_1} \\
&\quad + C \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* C_2 \alpha_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^K \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_2 + C_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx = \int_{D_{k_0}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx + \sum_{k=k_0}^K \int_{B_k} |\nabla u_n|^q dx. \tag{29}$$

To estimate the first integral in the right-hand side of (29), we compute by using Hölder's inequality and (24), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{D_{k_0}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx &\leq \left( \int_{D_{k_0}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{D_{k_0}} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{ps}{p-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\
&\leq C,
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where  $C$  depending only on  $\alpha, \beta, b, p, \theta, \|j\|_{p'}, \|f\|_1, \|\nabla v_0\|_p, \|v_0\|_\infty$  and  $k_0$ .

Note that  $\sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* \left( \frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*} \right) \frac{p}{q}}}$  and  $\sum_{k=k_0}^K \frac{1}{k^{q^* C_i \alpha_i}}$  converge due to the fact that  $q^* \left( \frac{p-q}{p} - \frac{s}{q^*} \right) \frac{p}{q} > 1$  and  $q^* C_i \alpha_i > 1$  by (27), respectively. Combining (28)-(30), we get for  $k_0$  large enough

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx &\leq C + C \left( \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + C \left( \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_1 + C_1} \\
&\quad + C \left( \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{p_2 + C_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Since  $p > q$ ,  $T_K(u_n) \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ ,  $T_K(g) = g \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  for  $K > \|g\|_\infty$ . Hence  $T_K(u_n) - g \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ . Using the Sobolev embedding  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \subset L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  and Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_K(u_n)\|_{q^*}^q &\leq 2^{q-1} (\|T_K(u_n) - g\|_{q^*}^q + \|g\|_{q^*}^q) \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla(T_K(u_n) - g)\|_q^q + \|g\|_{q^*}^q) \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla T_K(u_n)\|_q^q + \|\nabla g\|_q^q + \|g\|_{q^*}^q) \\
&\leq C \left( 1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$



Using the fact that

$$\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |u_n|^{q^*} dx \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |T_K(u_n)|^{q^*} dx \leq \|T_K(u_n)\|_{q^*}^{q^*}, \quad (33)$$

we obtain from (31)-(32),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx &\leq C + C \left(1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx\right)^{\frac{q^*}{q} \frac{p-q}{p}} + C \left(1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx\right)^{(p_1+C_1) \frac{q^*}{q}} \\ &\quad + C \left(1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx\right)^{(p_2+C_2) \frac{q^*}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Note that  $p < N \Leftrightarrow \frac{q^*}{q} \frac{p-q}{p} < 1$  and  $(p_i+C_i) \frac{q^*}{q} < 1$  by (26). It follows from (34) that for  $k_0$  large enough,  $\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q dx$  is bounded independently of  $n$  and  $K$ . Using (32) and (33), we deduce that  $\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |u_n|^{q^*} dx$  is also bounded independently of  $n$  and  $K$ . Letting  $K \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that  $\|\nabla u_n\|_q$  and  $\|u_n\|_{q^*}$  are uniformly bounded independently of  $n$ . Particularly,  $u_n$  is bounded in  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ . Therefore, there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  and a function  $v \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup v$  weakly in  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow v$  strongly in  $L^q(\Omega)$  and a.e. in  $\Omega$ . By Lemma 3,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in measure in  $\Omega$ , we conclude that  $u = v$  and  $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ . ■

**Lemma 6** *There exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  and a measurable function  $u$  such that  $\nabla u_n$  converges almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  to  $\nabla u$ .*

**Proof** The proof is quite similar to Theorem 4.1 in [1], which can be also found in [5]. Here we sketch only the main steps due to slight modifications. For  $r_2 > 1$ , let  $\lambda = \frac{q}{pr_2} < 1$ , where  $q$  is the same as in Lemma 5. Define  $A(x, u, \xi) = \frac{a(x, \xi)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$  (for the sake of simplicity, we omit the dependence of  $A(x, u, \xi)$  on  $x$ ) and

$$I(n) = \int_{\Omega} ((A(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A(u_n, \nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_n - u))^{\lambda} dx.$$

We fix  $k > 0$  and split the integral in  $I(n)$  on the sets  $\{|u| > k\}$  and  $\{|u| \leq k\}$ , obtaining

$$I_1(n, k) = \int_{\{|u| > k\}} ((A(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A(u_n, \nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_n - u))^{\lambda} dx,$$

and

$$I_2(n, k) = \int_{\{|u| \leq k\}} ((A(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A(u_n, \nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_n - u))^{\lambda} dx.$$

For  $I_2(n, k)$ , one has

$$I_2(n, k) \leq I_3(n, k) = \int_{\Omega} ((A_n(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n, \nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla(u_n - T_k(u)))^{\lambda} dx.$$

Fix  $h > 0$  and split  $I_3(n, k)$  on the sets  $\{|u_n - T_k(u)| > h\}$  and  $\{|u_n - T_k(u)| \leq h\}$ , obtaining

$$I_4(n, k, h) = \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(u)| > h\}} ((A_n(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n, \nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla(u_n - T_k(u)))^{\lambda} dx,$$

and

$$I_5(n, k, h) = \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(u)| \leq h\}} ((A_n(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n, \nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla(u_n - T_k(u)))^{\lambda} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} ((A_n(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n, \nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)))^\lambda dx \\
&\leq |\Omega|^{1-\lambda} \left( \int_{\Omega} (A_n(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n, \nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) dx \right)^\lambda \\
&= |\Omega|^{1-\lambda} (I_6(n, k, h))^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_6(n, k, h)$ , it can be split as the difference  $I_7(n, k, h) - I_8(n, k, h)$  where

$$I_7(n, k, h) = \int_{\Omega} A(u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) dx,$$

and

$$I_8(n, k, h) = \int_{\Omega} A(u_n, \nabla T_k(u)) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) dx.$$

Note that  $|\nabla u_n|$  is bounded in  $L^q(\Omega)$  and  $\lambda p r_2 = q$ . Thanks to Lemma 3 and Lemma 5, one may get in the same way as Theorem 4.1 in [1] that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1(n, k) = 0, \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_4(n, k, h) = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_8(n, k, h) = 0.$$

For  $I_7(n, k, h)$ , let  $k > \max\{\|g\|_\infty, \|\psi\|_\infty\}$  and  $n \geq h + k$ . Take  $T_k(u)$  as a test function for (7), obtaining

$$I_7(n, k, h) \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) dx + \int_{\Omega} b |u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) dx.$$

Note that  $r - 1 < q^*$ , and  $\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q^*} dx$  is uniformly bounded (see the proof of Lemma 5), thus  $|u_n|$  converges strongly in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r-2} u T_h(u - T_k(u)) dx.$$

Then using the strong convergence of  $f_n$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_7(n, k, h) \leq \int_{\Omega} -f T_h(u - T_k(u)) dx + \int_{\Omega} b |u|^{r-2} u T_h(u - T_k(u)) dx.$$

It follows

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_7(n, k, h) \leq 0.$$

Putting together all the limitations and noting that  $I(n) \geq 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(n) = 0.$$

The same arguments as [1] give that, up to subsequence,  $\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$  a.e.. ■

**Proof of Proposition 4** We shall prove that  $\nabla u_n$  converges strongly to  $\nabla u$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for each  $q$ , being given by (8). To do that, we will apply Vitalli's Theorem, using the fact that by Lemma 5,  $\nabla u_n$  is bounded in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for each  $q$  given by (8). So let  $s \in (q, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)})$  and  $E \subset \Omega$  be a measurable set. Then, we have by Hölder's inequality.

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n|^q dx \leq \left( \int_E |\nabla u_n|^r dx \right)^{\frac{q}{s}} \cdot |E|^{\frac{s-q}{s}} \leq C |E|^{\frac{s-q}{s}} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in  $n$ , as  $|E| \rightarrow 0$ . From this and Lemma 6, we deduce that  $\nabla u_n$  converges strongly to  $\nabla u$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$ .

Now assume that  $0 \leq \theta < \min\{\frac{1}{N-p+1}, \frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{1}{p-1}, \frac{p-r}{p-1}\}$ . Note that since  $\nabla u_n$  converges to  $\nabla u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , to prove the convergence

$$\frac{a(x, \nabla u_n)}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \rightarrow \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \text{ strongly in } (L^1(\Omega))^N,$$

it suffices, thanks to Vitalis Theorem, to show that for every measurable subset  $E \subset \Omega$ ,  $\int_E \left| \frac{a(x, \nabla u_n)}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \right| dx$  converges to 0 uniformly in  $n$ , as  $|E| \rightarrow 0$ . Note that  $p-1 < \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}$  by assumptions. For any  $q \in (p-1, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)})$ , we deduce by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \left| \frac{a(x, \nabla u_n)}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \right| dx &\leq \beta \int_E (j + |\nabla u_n|^{p-1}) dx \\ &\leq \beta \|j\|_{p'} |E|^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta \left( \int_E |\nabla u_n|^q dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} |E|^{\frac{q-p+1}{q}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \text{ as } |E| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Lemma 7** *There exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  such that for all  $k > 0$*

$$\frac{a(x, \nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \rightarrow \frac{a(x, \nabla T_k(u))}{(1 + |T_k(u)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \text{ strongly in } (L^1(\Omega))^N.$$

**Proof** Let  $k$  be a positive number. It is well known that if a sequence of measurable functions  $\{u_n\}$  with uniformly boundedness in  $L^s(\Omega)$  ( $s > 1$ ) converges in measure to  $u$ , then,  $u_n$  converges strongly to  $u$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . First note that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{a(x, \nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \right\}$  is bounded in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . Indeed, we have by (3) and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{a(x, \nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \right|^{p'} dx &\leq \beta \|j\|_{p'}^{p'} + \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla T_k(u_n)|^p}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta p}} dx \\ &\leq \beta \|j\|_{p'}^{p'} + \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla T_k(u_n)|^p}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Next, it suffices to show that there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$\frac{a(x, \nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \rightarrow \frac{a(x, \nabla T_k(u))}{(1 + |T_k(u)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \text{ in measure.}$$

Note that  $u_n, u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , where  $q$  is the same as in Proposition 4. The a.e. convergence of  $u_n$  to  $u$  and the fact that  $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$  in measure imply that

$$\nabla T_k(u_n) \rightarrow \nabla T_k(u) \text{ in measure.}$$

Let  $s, t$  be positive numbers and write  $\nabla_A u = \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \{|\nabla_A T_k(u_n) - \nabla_A T_k(u)| > s\}, \\ E_n^1 &= \{|\nabla T_k(u_n)| > t\}, \\ E_n^2 &= \{|\nabla T_k(u)| > t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$E_n^3 = E_n \cap \{|\nabla T_k(u_n)| \leq t\} \cap \{|\nabla T_k(u)| \leq t\}.$$

Note that  $E_n \subset E_n^1 \cup E_n^2 \cup E_n^3$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Using the fact by Lemma 5, the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  and the function  $u$  are uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^N(E_n^1) &\leq \frac{1}{t^q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^q dx \leq \frac{1}{t^q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^q dx \leq \frac{C}{t^q}, \\ \mathcal{L}^N(E_n^2) &\leq \frac{1}{t^q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u)|^q dx \leq \frac{1}{t^q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q dx \leq \frac{C}{t^q}.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $t_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(E_n^1) + \mathcal{L}^N(E_n^2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall t \geq t_\varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (35)$$

Note that for  $a \geq b \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0$ , we have the following inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{(1+a)^\tau} - \frac{1}{(1+b)^\tau} \right| = \left| \frac{\tau(b-a)}{(1+c)^{1+\tau}} \right| \leq \tau|b-a| \quad \text{for some } c \in [b, a].$$

We deduce from (5) and (3) that in  $E_n^3$

$$\begin{aligned}s &< |\nabla_A T_k(u_n) - \nabla_A T_k(u)| \\ &= \left| \frac{a(x, \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(x, \nabla T_k(u))}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} + \left( \frac{1}{(1 + |T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} - \frac{1}{(1 + |T_k(u)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \right) a(x, \nabla T_k(u)) \right| \\ &\leq \theta(p-1) |T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| \cdot \beta(j + |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p-1}) \\ &\quad + \gamma \begin{cases} |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)|^{p-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2 \\ |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)| (1 + |\nabla T_k(u_n)| + |\nabla T_k(u)|)^{p-2}, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \end{cases} \\ &\leq C_0 j |T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| + C_0 (1 + t^{p-1} + t^{p-2}) (|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| + |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)|), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to  $E_n^3 \subset F_1 \cup F_2$ , with

$$\begin{aligned}F_1 &= \{j |T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{2C_0}\}, \\ F_2 &= \left\{ |T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| + |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{2C_0(1 + t^{p-1} + t^{p-2})} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

In  $F_1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}^N(F_1) = \frac{2C_0}{s} \int_{F_1} \frac{s}{2C_0} dx < \frac{2C_0}{s} \int_{F_1} j |T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| dx.$$

By Lemma 3, we deduce that there exists  $n_0 = n_0(S, C_0, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(F_1) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \quad (36)$$

For  $F_2$ , note that  $F^2 \subset F_3 \cup F_4$ , with

$$\begin{aligned}F_3 &= \left\{ |T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{4C_0(1 + t^{p-1} + t^{p-2})} \right\}, \\ F_4 &= \left\{ |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{4C_0(1 + t^{p-1} + t^{p-2})} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the convergence in measure of  $\nabla T_k(u_n)$  to  $\nabla T_k(u)$  and  $T_k(u_n)$  to  $T_k(u)$ , for  $t = t_\varepsilon$ , we obtain the existence of  $n_1 = n_1(s, \varepsilon) \geq 1$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(F_2) \leq \mathcal{L}^N(F_3) + \mathcal{L}^N(F_4) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall n \geq n_1. \quad (37)$$

Combining (35), (36) and (37), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|\nabla_A T_k(u_n) - \nabla_A T_k(u)| > s\}) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1\}.$$

Hence the sequence  $\{\nabla_A T_k(u_n)\}$  converges in measure to  $\nabla_A T_k(u)$  and the lemma follows.  $\blacksquare$

### 3 Proof of the main result

Now we have gathered all the lemmas needed to prove the existence of an entropy solution to the obstacle problem associated with  $(f, \psi, g)$ . In this part, let  $f_n$  be a sequence of smooth functions converging strongly to  $f$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , with  $\|f_n\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + 1$ . We consider the sequence of approximated obstacle problems associated with  $(f_n, \psi, g)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1** Let  $v \in K_{g,\psi} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Taking  $v$  as a test function in (7) associated with  $(f_n, \psi, g)$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x, \nabla u_n)}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \cdot \nabla(T_t(u_n - v)) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_t(u_n - v) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n T_t(u_n - v) dx.$$

Since  $\{|u_n - v| < t\} \subset \{|u_n| < s\}$  with  $s = t + \|v\|_\infty$ , the previous inequality can be written as

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n) \cdot \nabla v dx \geq \int_{\Omega} -f_n T_t(u_n - v) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_t(u_n - v) dx + \int_{\Omega} \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n) \cdot \nabla T_s(u_n) dx, \quad (38)$$

where  $\chi_n = \chi_{\{|u_n - v| < t\}}$  and  $\nabla_A u = \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$ . It is clear that  $\chi_n \rightharpoonup \chi$  weakly\* in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Moreover,  $\chi_n$  converges a.e. to  $\chi_{\{|u - v| < t\}}$  in  $\Omega \setminus \{|u - v| = t\}$ . It follows that

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{in } \{|u - v| < t\}, \\ 0, & \text{in } \{|u - v| > t\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that we have  $\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u - v| = t\}) = 0$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . So there exists a measurable set  $\mathcal{O} \subset (0, \infty)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u - v| = t\}) = 0$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{O}$ . Assume that  $t \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{O}$ . Then  $\chi_n$  converges weakly\* in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  and a.e. in  $\Omega$  to  $\chi = \chi_{\{|u - v| < t\}}$ . Since  $\nabla T_s(u_n)$  converges a.e. to  $\nabla T_s(u)$  in  $\Omega$  (Proposition 4), we obtain by Fatou's Lemma

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n) \cdot \nabla T_s(u_n) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \chi \nabla_A T_s(u) \cdot \nabla T_s(u) dx. \quad (39)$$

Using the strong convergence of  $\nabla_A T_s(u_n)$  to  $\nabla_A T_s(u)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  (Lemma 7) and the weak\* convergence of  $\chi_n$  to  $\chi$  in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n) \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} \chi \nabla_A T_s(u) \cdot \nabla v dx. \quad (40)$$

Moreover, due to the strong convergence of  $f_n$  to  $f$  and  $|u_n|^{r-2} u_n$  to  $|u|^{r-2} u$  (by  $r - 1 < q^*$  and the boundedness of  $\|u_n\|_{q^*}$ ) in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , and the weak\* convergence of  $T_t(u_n - v)$  to  $T_t(u - v)$  in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , by passing to the limit in (38) and taking into account (39)-(40), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi \nabla_A T_s(u) \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} \chi \nabla_A T_s(u) \cdot \nabla T_s(u) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} -f T_t(u - v) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2} u T_t(u - v) dx,$$

which can be written as

$$\int_{\{|v-u|\leq t\}} \chi \nabla_A T_s(u) \cdot (\nabla v - \nabla u) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} -f T_t(u-v) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2} u T_t(u-v) dx,$$

or since  $\chi = \chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}$  and  $\nabla(T_t(u-v)) = \chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}} \nabla(u-v)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla T_t(u-v) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2} u T_t(u-v) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f T_t(u-v) dx, \forall t \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

For  $t \in \mathcal{O}$ , we know that there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\}$  of numbers in  $(0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{O}$  such that  $t_k \rightarrow t$  due to  $|\mathcal{O}| = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla T_{t_k}(u-v) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2} u T_{t_k}(u-v) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f T_{t_k}(u-v) dx. \quad (41)$$

Since  $\nabla(u-v) = 0$  a.e. in  $\{|u-v| = t\}$ , the left-hand side of (41) can be written as

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla T_{t_k}(u-v) dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus \{|u-v|=t\}} \chi_{\{|u-v|<t_k\}} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx.$$

The sequence  $\chi_{\{|u-v|<t_k\}}$  converges to  $\chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}$  a.e. in  $\Omega \setminus \{|u-v| = t\}$  and therefore converges weakly\* in  $L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{|u-v| = t\})$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla T_{t_k}(u-v) dx &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \{|u-v|=t\}} \chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla T_t(u-v) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

For the right-hand side of (41), we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f T_{t_k}(u-v) dx - \int_{\Omega} f T_t(u-v) dx \right| \leq |t_k - t| \cdot \|f\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (43)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r-2} u T_{t_k}(u-v) dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r-2} u T_t(u-v) dx \right| \leq |t_k - t| \cdot \| |u|^{r-1} \|_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (44)$$

It follows from (41)-(44) that we have the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla_A u \cdot \nabla T_t(u-v) dx + \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{r-2} u T_t(u-v) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f T_t(u-v) dx, \forall t \in (0, \infty).$$

Hence,  $u$  is an entropy solution of the obstacle problem associated with  $(f, \psi, g)$ . The dependence of the entropy solution on the data  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  is guaranteed by Proposition 4. ■

## 4 Declarations

### 4.1 Availability of data and material

Not applicable.

## 4.2 Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

## 4.3 Funding

This research was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.: 10801X10096022).

## 4.4 Authors' contributions

This paper was completed by JZ independently.

## 4.5 Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

## References

- [1] A. Alvino, L. Boccardo, V. Ferone, L. Orsina, G. Trombetti, Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 182 (1) (2003), 53-79.
- [2] A. Alvino, V. Ferone, G. Trombetti, A priori estimates for a class of non uniformly elliptic equations, *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, 46-suppl. (1998), 381-391.
- [3] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, J. L. Vazquez, An  $L^1$  theory of existence and uniqueness of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 22 (2) (1995), 240-273.
- [4] L. Boccardo, H. Brezis, Some remarks on a class of elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat.*, 6 (3) (2003), 521-530.
- [5] L. Boccardo, Some nonlinear Dirichlet problems in  $L^1$  involving lower order terms in divergence form, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 350 Longman, Harlow (1996), 43-57.
- [6] L. Boccardo, G. R. Cirmi, Existence and uniqueness of solution of unilateral problems with  $L^1$  data, *J. Convex Anal.*, 6 (1) (1999), 195-206.
- [7] L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina, Existence of solutions for some noncoercive elliptic problems involving derivatives of nonlinear terms, arXiv:1206.3694, 2012.
- [8] L. Boccardo, A. Dall'Aglio, L. Orsina, Existence and regularity results for some elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, 46-suppl. (1998), 51-81.
- [9] S. Challal, A. Lyaghfour, J. F. Rodrigues, On the  $A$ -obstacle problem and the Hausdorff measure of its free boundary, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 191 (1) (2012), 113-165.
- [10] G. Chen, Nonlinear elliptic equation with lower order term and degenerate Coercivity, *Mathematical Notes*, 93(1-2)(2013), 224-237.
- [11] G. Croce, The regularizing effects of some lower order terms in an elliptic equation with degenerate coercivity, arXiv:1005.0203v1, 2010.
- [12] F. Della Pietra, G. di Blasio, Comparison, existence and regularity results for a class of nonuniformly elliptic equations, *Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 2 (1) (2010), 79-103.
- [13] D. Giachetti, M. M. Porzio, Existence results for some non uniformly elliptic equations with irregular data, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 257 (1) (2001), 100-130.
- [14] D. Giachetti, M. M. Porzio, Elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, gradient regularity, *Acta Math. Sinica*, 19 (2) (2003), 349-370.
- [15] J. Leray, J. L. Lions, Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 93 (1965), 97-107.
- [16] Z. Li, W. Gao, Existence results to a nonlinear  $p(x)$ -Laplace equation with degenerate coercivity and zero-order term: renormalized and entropy solutions, *Appl. Anal.*, 95(2)(2016), 373-389.
- [17] A. Porretta, Uniqueness and homogenization for a class of noncoercive operators in divergence form, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, 46 (1998), 915-936.
- [18] G. Stampacchia, Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre coefficients discontinus, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 15 fasc 1 (1965), 189-258.
- [19] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II, B. New York (NY): Springer-Verlag; 1990; Nonlinear monotone operators. Translated from the German by the author and F. Leo.