1Running head: OPERATION-DIRECTED ATTENTIONAL 2SELECTION OF WORKING MEMORY CONTENT

4 5 6 7 Attention-operated working memory representations 8 determine visual selective attention 9 10 Ting Luo^a, Shimin Fu^a, Zhencai Chen^{b,c}, Bochuan Mou^b, Dandan Tang^d, Antao Chen^{b,*} 11 12 13 14^a Department of Psychology, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100086, China 15^b Key laboratory of Cognition and Personality of Ministry of Education, Faculty of Psychology, 16Southwest University, Chongqing, 400715, China 17° State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning & IDG/McGovern Institute for 18Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China 19^d School of Education Science, Zunyi Normal College, 563002, China 20 21 22^{*} Corresponding author at: Faculty of Psychology, Southwest University, BeiBei, Chongqing, 23China. E-mail address: xscat@swu.edu.cn (A. Chen). 24 25 26 27

3

1Abstract:

2It has been demonstrated that visual attention is guided by information actively maintained in 3working memory (WM). However, it remains unknown whether other operations (e.g. inhibition) 4on WM contents influence selective attention. This issue was investigated in a visual search task 5where WM contents with either operation (maintenance or inhibition) appeared as distractors in 6the search display. Behavioral results showed that search performance was slowed down for 7 presenting the maintained contents, but speeded up for the inhibited contents. These results 8suggested an operation-directed selection of WM contents that visual attention was distinctively 9 influenced by contents with different operation. These observations were further confirmed by the 10 indexes of event-related potentials (ERPs). The inhibited WM contents were suppressed at sensory 1 gating stage (i.e., suppressed P1 amplitude), while the maintained WM contents guided visual 12attention (i.e., enhanced N2pc amplitude). It seems that results from ERPs and behavior are 13 integrated. The maintained contents guided visual attention that hindered performance of 14searching a target, while the inhibited contents screened attention that facilitated target searching 15in the other space. Besides, P3 component indexing updating of WM, which revealed comparable 16 latency both for the maintained and the inhibited WM contents, but with longer latency than the 17neutral contents. These results suggested that the WM contents with distinct operations were 18 expelled from the focus of executive attention after the onset of the search task, which might be 19necessary for the WM contents influencing selective attention. The current study reveals that 20 operations of WM contents distinctively affect early selective attention to the matching contents. 21 which sheds some light on the interaction between WM and visual attention. 22Key words: selective attention; working memory (WM); event-related potentials (ERPs);

23 attentional operation; inhibition

21 Introduction

3 Working memory (WM) and attention are closely related. As we know, information 4maintained in WM has been demonstrated to influence selective attention . However, other studies 5have revealed no evidence of the influence of WM contents on attention . Thus, further 6investigation is required to fully understand the controversy over the influence of WM contents on 7attention and its underlying mechanism.

The finding that the visual attention of a search task is biased to the content maintained in the 9concurrent WM task, suggests a guidance effect driven by memory content . Additionally, the 10guidance effect is automatic (Soto, Heinke, Humphreys, & Blanco, 2005) and robust even in 11presence of a salient search target (Soto, Humphreys, & Heinke, 2006). However, other studies 12have showed no guidance effect of the maintained WM contents when the task of selective 13attention requires active representation . As we know, the number of items processed with the 14executive attention is limited (Menneer et al., 2007; Oberauer, 2002), thus in the dual task the WM 15contents and the search target would compete for attentional focus. In these studies, the target was 16actively represented , which occupied attention resulted in diminished or disappeared guidance 18effect. Above all, there were two different (stored and executive) states of WM that the contents 19under executive state influence visual attention while do not affect attention when merely stored . 20It seems that the ongoing processing of WM contents with executive attention may be critical in 21influencing visual attention.

As we know, the executive function of the WM involves two distinct operations, maintenance 23and inhibition . As previous studies focused on the influence of the maintained WM contents on 24attention, little is known about the selective attention influenced by inhibitory operation of the 25contents. The inhibitory operation excludes irrelevant information and suppresses distractors, 26which has been emphasized in numerous studies . Moreover, the top-down suppression of 27irrelevant information is essential and always online to obtain optimal performance . As an 28important executive function, the inhibitory operation is an indispensable part to reveal the 1 mechanism of the interaction between selective attention and WM contents. Notably, the 2 investigation whether the inhibited WM contents have an influence on attention can directly 3 examine the previous view, which suggests WM contents under executive attention do affect 4 selective attention (. Additionally, comparison between the selective attention influenced by the 5 inhibited contents and that by the maintained contents will shed some lights on the relationship 6 between selective attention and WM contents with distinct operations.

7 As we noted above, it is probably the executive attention operated on the WM contents that Sinfluence selective attention to the matching information in a task of selective attention. However, 9it still unclear whether the contents under executive attention or contents with specific operation 10affect selective attention. Herein, two alternative predictions are proposed to resolve this question, 11the attention-directed guidance and the operation-directed selection. As we mentioned, operations 12(maintenance and inhibition) of the WM contents involves executive attention, thus that the 13contents are processed within the attentional focus and easily gain control of visual attention. 14Based on this view, the attention-directed guidance suggests that the matching contents under 15 executive attention will be more accessible and attract visual attention in the other task. Therefore, 16the attention-directed guidance predicts that both the maintained and the inhibited WM contents 17capture visual attention, demonstrating analogous pattern of selective attention. However, the 18 operation-directed selection acknowledges the accessibility of contents under executive attention, 19 and further posits that the specific operation (maintenance or inhibition) on executive attention 20 determines the selective attention of perceptually matching contents. In other words, the 21 maintained content is attended and the inhibited content is suppressed when they appeared in the 22visual field. This view predicts that the contents with maintained operation and the contents with 23inhibitory operation have distinct pattern of selective attention.

In the current experiment, a dual-task incorporating WM task and visual search task was 25utilized, modified from Olivers et al. (2006). In the WM task, two colors were memorized first, 26and then a cue pointed the color to be maintained and the other to be inhibited. The stimuli were 27presented on the bilateral visual fields in the search array, which enabled an examination on 28lateralized processing indicative of the selective attention (Heinze et al., 1990; Luck and Hillyard, 291994a). Additionally, the cued or uncued WM contents might appear on the opposite field to the 1 search targets. A baseline (neutral condition) was established when there was no memory item in 2the search array. Applying a moderate duration between the WM task and the search task suggest, 3the cued and the uncued WM contents were supposed to be differently operated (maintained and 4inhibited, respectively) under executive attention. If the attention-directed guidance determines the 5influence of WM contents on attention, both the maintained (cued) and the inhibited (uncued) WM 6contents will guide attention and impair search performance. Alternatively, the operation-directed 7selection forecasts an adverse effect for the presence of the maintained WM contents and a 8beneficial effect for the inhibited WM contents in the search task.

9 In addition to the behavioral measurement, electrophysiological method was also employed 10to further reveal the neural mechanism of selective attention influenced by WM operations. 11Electroencephalogram (EEG) data were recorded while healthy human participants were 12performing the dual-task. Early ERP (event-related potential) components (e.g., P1 and N1) were 13adopted to index the selective attention influenced at sensory gating stage . The attentional 14guidance effect could be reflected by the N2pc component , which is sensitive to spatially 15selective attention . Additionally, P3 was also examined as the late attentional components .

162 Method

172.1 Participants

18 Twenty-four (11 females, aged between 18 and 25 years) right-handed college students at 19Southwest University were recruited in return for a monetary reward. All participants reported 20normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity and normal color vision. Informed consent was 21obtained from them all. This study was approved by the Review Board of Southwest University 22(Chongqing, China) for Human Participant Research.

232.2 Apparatus, stimuli and procedure

E-Prime software (version 1.1, Psychology Software Tools Inc. Pittsburg, USA) was used to 25control the stimuli and collect responses. The stimuli were displayed on a 17-inch monitor with a 26resolution of $1,024 \times 768$ pixels and a refresh rate of 85 Hz. The distance between the monitor and 27participants was approximately 70 cm. Stimuli were presented on a gray background (RGB values: 128, 128, 128). The color patch 2was $1.6^{\circ} \times 1.6^{\circ}$ visual angle. Ten colors were chosen from the Munsell's color system consisted 3of five principal hues (red, yellow, green, blue and purple). The hue, brightness and chroma of 4these colors were listed in Table 1. The specific hue and value were kept nearly constant. The 5chroma of each color varied between 8 and 12. Note that these colors used in the present 6experiment were only approximations of Munsell's original colors due to screen limitations. The 7vertical line was $0.8^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ visual angle, and the target was tilted 15°. In the search array, four 8lines were presented and each was put on the middle of the color patch as an object.

9 The trial sequence of this experiment was shown in Figure 1. Each trial began with a black 10 cross presented for 500 ms. After that, a memory array with two colors was presented for 1500 11 ms, and participants were required to remember both colors. The color patches were presented on 12 the middle line that deviated 1.5° up and down from the center fixation. Following that, a mask 13 array of 500 ms contained two Mondrian patches, which presented on the same locations as color 14 patches in the memory array. At the last 200 ms of the mask array, an arrow was added on the 15 fixation, introducing distinct attentional operations (i.e., maintenance and inhibition) on the 16 memory colors. The arrow pointed to the color to be tested and was maintained, but the uncued 17 color was irrelevant and supposed to be inhibited. The inter-stimulus interval between the mask 18 array and the search array was randomized between 1000 ms and 1200 ms. The search array 19 contained four objects on separate quadrants in an imaginary clock face with a radius of 6.5°. 20 The colors of objects were different in the left and in the right visual field, but identical in each 21 visual field. Participants responded to the position of the target (i.e., in the left or right visual 22 field). After an interval of 500 ms, the test array with two different color patches was displayed 23 horizontally, in which a response about the presence of the cued color was required. Both the 24 search array and the test array was presented until a response or 3000 ms. The inter-trial interval 25 was 500 ms.

262.3 Assignment of stimuli

27 Locations of stimuli were controlled with balance. In the mask array, an upward arrow28 appeared on half of the trials and a downward arrow appeared on the remaining half trials. In the

search array, the targets presented on four quadrants evenly. The cued color and the uncued color
 were located in the left and the right visual fields for equal probability. The directions of the cue
 corresponding to the top and bottom locations of the target were counterbalanced and so were the
 left and right positions of the search target corresponding to that of the cued color in the test.

5 The colors presented in an array were controlled for some purposes. In the memory array, 6 colors were chosen from two adjacent hues (red, yellow, green, blue and purple) to ensure visual 7 similarity. Thus, the memory colors were supposed to be maintained with visual representation. 8 In the search array, when one of the colors in the memory array appeared, the other color was the 9 most dissimilar one to it. Otherwise, the two colors dissimilar to both memory colors were 10 displayed. Additionally, the luminance contrast and color distance in the search array were 11 approximately equated to avoid difference in physical attributes. In the memory test, two similar 12 colors were chosen to ensure visual representation of the memory colors .

132.4 Design

Since the interaction between operation of visual WM content and visual attention is our main concern, the relationship between the WM contents with distinct operations (the maintained and the inhibited contents) and the search target was manipulated. Specifically, one of the memory colors appeared in the search array on half trials, among which the cued color (cued condition) and the uncued color (uncued condition) was presented in the opposite visual field to the target in equal probability. On the other half of trials, other colors displayed in the search array as neutral condition. Therefore, the Search condition (cued condition, uncued condition and neutral condition) was established. The proportions of the cued condition, the uncued condition and the neutral condition was 1:1:2, to avoid any bias to the memory items.

For the memory test, the cued color and the unced color was presented with equalization
and independent of each other. Thus, four combinations constituted by Cued color (presence,
absence) and Uncued color (presence, absence) were generated.

26 Participants in the practice session did 24 trials with feedback of the memory test. After the 27 practice, the experiment with 24 blocks of 24 trials was performed. Trials were assigned with 28 pseudo randomness. Each block took 2-3 minutes, and participants had a self-determined rest. The whole experiment requires 60-70 minutes. The data from the practice session were excluded
 from analysis.

32.5 EEG recordings and pre-processing

4 The electroencephalogram (EEG) data were recorded using a Brain Products system (band 5pass 0.01-100 Hz, sampling rate: 500 Hz, notch off), connected to a 60 scalp Ag-AgCl electrodes 6placed according to the international 10-20 system. All inter-electrode impedances were kept 7below 5 k Ω with the references on FCz and a ground electrode on AFz. The electro-oculograms 8(EOGs) were simultaneously recorded from four surface electrodes, which were placed over the 9upper and lower eyelids and next by the outer canthus of the left and right eye to monitor ocular 10movements and eye blinks. The EEG and electro-oculograms (EOGs) signals were amplified 11using a DC voltage.

EEG data were pre-processed using EEGLAB 11.0 (Delorme & Makeig, 2004). Continuous BEEG recordings band-pass filtered between 1 and 40 Hz. EEG epochs were segmented in 1200 ms 4time-windows (pre-stimulus 200 ms and post-stimulus 1000 ms) on the search task, and baseline 5corrected using the pre-stimulus time interval. EEG epochs were then visually inspected and trials 16with considerable artifacts resulting from gross movements were removed. Trials contaminated by 17eye-blinks and other movements were corrected using an independent component analysis (ICA) 18algorithm (Delorme & Makeig, 2004; Delorme, Sejnowski, & Makeig, 2007). In all datasets, the 19individual eye movements, which showed a large EOG channel contribution and a frontal scalp 20distribution, were clearly seen in the removed independent components (ICs). After ICA and an 21additional baseline correction, EEG trials (at least 110 trials in each condition) were re-referenced 22to the bilateral mastoid electrodes.

232.6 Data analysis

Analyses of behavior data. In the analyses of the search task, data from one participant was 25excluded due to excessive errors (exceeding three standard deviations). Trials with wrong 26responses or RTs exceeding 1200 ms, were removed (about 4% of all trials) in the analysis of 27mean RTs. The one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out on the 1 mean RTs and accuracies for the Search condition. All data were analyzed in the memory test with 2a 2 (Cued color: presence, absence) × 2 (Uncued color: presence, absence) repeated-measures 3ANOVA.

4 **Analyses of ERP data.** The statistics were based on 23 participants with normal errors. 5Single-participant averaged waveforms in each condition were averaged to get the grand averaged 6waveform, which were used to obtain the group-level scalp topographies for each condition. The 7P1, N1, N2pc and P3 components were analyzed in the search task.

8 The P1 showed a max activation around 100 ms at the lateral parietal-occipital scalp 9topography. At these electrodes (P1/2, P3/4, PO3/4, and PO7/8), P1 peak amplitudes were detected 10as the max positive deflection in the time window of 60-130 ms. The 4 (Electrode: P1, P3, PO3, 11and PO7) \times 2 (Hemisphere: left, right) \times 3 (Search condition: cued, uncued and neutral) repeated-12measures ANOVA was executed on peak amplitudes and latencies.

13 N1 peak amplitudes were detected at the occipital electrodes (Oz, O1/2, POz and PO3/4), 14with negative reflection within the time window of 160-200 ms. A 6 (Electrode: Oz, O1/2, POz 15and PO3/4) \times 3 (Search condition: cued, uncued and neutral) repeated-measures ANOVA was 16carried out on N1 peak amplitudes and latencies.

The N2pc component was sourced at the pooled occipital electrodes (PO7/PO8) and obtained 18by subtracting the ipsilateral waveforms from the contralateral waveforms of the target. For 19statistical purposes, the N2pc waveforms were computed with a 100-ms pre-stimulus and 400-ms 20post-stimulus interval with the pre-stimulus period as a baseline (Figure 4). The mean area 21amplitudes across 170-250 ms were compared between the contralateral and ipsilateral electrodes 22with paired-sample t test for each condition. And the N2pc amplitudes in Search condition were 23obtained by averaging area amplitude across 170-250 ms, which was analyzed with the one-way 24ANOVA.

The P3 peak amplitude were detected at four posterior midline electrodes (CPz, Pz, POz, and 26Oz) in a 280-400 ms time range. The two-way (Electrode × Search condition) repeated-measures 27ANOVA was executed.

28 The above statistical analysis was carried out with Statistical Product and Service Solutions 29(version 13.0, SPSS Inc.). Greenhouse-Geisser correction for degrees of freedom was used 1 whenever the assumption of sphericity was violated (p < .05). For multiple comparisons, p values 2 were adjusted using the Bonferroni correction.

33 Results

43.1 Behavioral results

53.1.1 Search task

Figure 2 depicted the mean RTs and error rates in the search task. Mauchly's test of sphericity 7on RTs was statistically significant ($\chi^2_2 = 12.68$, p = .002) on Search condition, so that a validated 8degrees of freedom was used. There was a significant main effect of Search condition ($F_{2,44} =$ 935.00, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.61$) on the measures of RTs. Pairwise comparisons indicated that the RTs in 10the cued condition were 39 ms slower than those in the neutral condition ($t_{22} = 5.37$, p < .001, d =111.12), and 49 ms slower than those in the uncued condition ($t_{22} = 7.02$, p < .001, d = 1.46). 12Interestingly, performance in the uncued condition was significantly better for 10 ms than that in 13the neutral condition ($t_{22} = 2.60$, p = .048, d = 0.54). These results indicated that the appearance of 14the cued color opposite to the target hindered the selection for the target, while the presence of the 15uncued colors opposite to the target facilitated visual search. Otherwise, variances were equal in 16accuracies ($\chi^2_2 = 2.28$, p = .32), and no main effect was observed because of high accuracy (over 1799%) in all conditions ($F_{2,44} = 1.70$, p = .19).

183.1.2 Memory test

19 The overall mean accuracy in memory task was 84.5%, and the mean reaction time was 831 20ms. Analyses on the mean accuracy showed main effects of the Cued color ($F_{1,23} = 29.97$, p < .001, $21\eta_p^2 = 0.57$) with better performance for the presence than the absence of the cued color ($t_{23} = 5.47$, 22p < .001, d = 1.12), and the Uncued color ($F_{1,23} = 17.58$, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.43$) with worse 23performance for the presence than the absence of the uncued color ($t_{23} = -4.19$, p = .001, d = -0.86). 24Moreover, the interaction between the Cued color and the Uncued color was significant ($F_{1,23} = 257.49$, p = .012, $\eta_p^2 = 0.25$). The breakdown of this interaction showed a simple effect of the 1Uncued color when the cued color was absent in the test ($F_{1,23} = 15.82$, p = .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.41$). But 2no simple effect of the Uncued color was observed when the cued color was present ($F_{1,23} = 2.75$, 3p = .11). These results demonstrated that uncued color might be mistook as the cued color for its 4absence.

5 Correct responses were entered in analysis of the mean RTs. A main effect of Cued color was 6observed ($F_{1,23} = 16.02$, p = .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.41$) with faster response for the appearance than absence 7of the cued color ($t_{23} = -4.00$, p = .001, d = -0.82). The main effect of the Uncued color was also 8observed ($F_{1,23} = 4.29$, p = .05, $\eta_p^2 = 0.16$) that RTs were slower with its presence than RTs with its 9absence ($t_{23} = 2.07$, p = .05, d = 0.42). An interaction between the Cued color and the Uncued color 10was also demonstrated ($F_{1,23} = 18.92$, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.45$). The follow-up tests revealed 11significant simple contrasts of the Uncued color, reversed for the presence ($t_{23} = -2.50$, p = .02, d =12-0.51) and the absence of the cued color ($t_{23} = 4.51$, p < .001, d = -0.92). In accordance, the results 13of accuracy and RT were consistent, which indicated that the presence of the uncued color had an 14influence on the detection of the cued color. Even though, the two colors were clearly 15distinguishable.

163.2 EEG Results

173.2.1 PI

For analysis P1 peak amplitude, Mauchly's test of sphericity was significant on Electrodes 19and all interactions (p < .05), the significant values were reported based on the validated degrees 20of freedom. The results showed main effects of Electrodes ($F_{3,66} = 7.64$, p = .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.26$) and 21Search condition ($F_{2,44} = 8.29$, p = .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.27$). Pairwise comparisons of the Search condition 22(see Figure 3) showed smaller P1 peak amplitudes in the uncued condition relative to the cued 23condition ($t_{22} = -4.72$, p < .001, d = 0.98) and the neutral condition ($t_{22} = -2.76$, p = .034, d =24-0.58), but no significant difference between the other two conditions ($t_{22} = 1.05$, p = .67). The 25interaction between Electrodes and Search condition was also significant ($F_{6,132} = 3.32$, p = .016, $26\eta_p^2 = 0.13$). The follow-up tests on separate electrode revealed that the simple effects of the Search 27condition were significant at P1/2 electrodes ($F_{2,44} = 7.86$, p = .003, $\eta_p^2 = 0.43$), P3/4 electrodes 28($F_{2,44} = 7.09$, p = .004, $\eta_p^2 = 0.40$), PO3/4 electrodes ($F_{2,44} = 11.29$, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.52$), but not 1 the PO7/8 electrodes ($F_{2,44} = 2.15$, p = .14). No other main effect or interaction was observed (p > .205).

3 Mauchly's test of sphericity was significant on the interaction between Electrode and Search 4condition, as well as the three-way interaction (p < .05), For the analysis of peak latency of P1 5component, neither main effect or interaction was found (F < 1.5, p > .1).

63.2.2 NI

7 Mauchly's test of sphericity showed significance on Electrode and interaction between 8Electrode and Search condition (p < .05). The main effect of Search condition was neither 9observed on the peak amplitudes ($F_{2,44} = 0.50$, p = .61) nor on the peak latencies ($F_{2,44} = 0.725$, p = 10.49).

113.2.3 N2pc

Mauchly's test of sphericity showed significance on Search condition was significant (p > .1305). The N2pc area amplitude showed a remarkable main effect of Search condition ($F_{2,44} = 10.76$, 14p = .003, $\eta_p^2 = 0.33$). Pairwise comparison revealed that the mean amplitudes in the cued condition 15were more negative than amplitudes in the uncued condition ($t_{22} = -3.94$, p = .002, d = -0.82) and 16the neutral condition ($t_{22} = -3.62$, p = .005, d = -0.75). However, there was no difference between 17the uncued condition and the neutral condition ($t_{22} = 1.19$, p = .75). Additionally, paired-sample t-18test of the area amplitude between the contralateral and ipsilateral electrodes showed significance 19in all levels of Search condition ($t_{22} = -4.94$, p < .001 for the cued condition; $t_{22} = -3.46$, p = .00220for the uncued condition; $t_{22} = -2.64$, p = .015 for the neutral condition)

213.2.4 P3

Mauchly's test of sphericity of peak amplitude showed significance on Electrode and 23 interaction between Electrode and Search condition (p < .05), and of peak latency showed 24 significance on interaction between Electrode and Search condition (p < .05). The 4 × 3 repeated-25 measures ANOVA on peak amplitude showed that both Electrode ($F_{3,66} = 4.19$, p = .019, $\eta_p^2 =$ 260.16) and Search condition ($F_{2,44} = 7.13$, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = 0.25$) revealed significant main effects. Pairwise comparisons on Search condition indicated that the P3 peak amplitude in the neutral 2condition was smaller than that in the cued condition ($t_{22} = 3.64$, p = .003, d = 0.758) and the 3uncued condition ($t_{22} = 3.58$, p = .007, d = 0.75). However, the peak amplitudes were not 4significantly different between the cued and uncued condition ($t_{22} = 0.51$, p = .91). No significant 5interaction ($F_{6,132} = 1.38$, p = .26) was observed. The same analysis was executed on the P3 peak 6latencies. The results showed that the main effects of the Electrode ($F_{3,66} = 1.54$, p = .21) and of the 7Search condition ($F_{2,44} = 2.05$, p = .14) were not significant. Interaction between the Electrode and 8the Search condition was also not significant ($F_{6,132} = 0.91$, p = .50).

104 Discussion

11 The current study examined the influences of WM contents with distinct operations on 12selective attention. In this experiment, one of two memorized colors was cued to be maintained 13 and the uncued one was supposed to be inhibited. These different processes exerted on WM 14contents have shown to differently modulate behavior and ERP indices of selective attention in a 15concurrent visual search task. Specifically, the behavioral responses to the search task were 16 slowed down when the distractors were the maintained (cued) WM contents while speeded up 17when the distractors were the inhibited (uncued) WM contents, relative to performances in neutral 18condition. Consistently, these results were reflected in the results of ERPs indexing selective 19attention at early stage. An inhibitory process marked by smaller P1 amplitude was observed in the 20search task with the inhibited WM contents. Furthermore, the N2pc amplitude was larger with the 21 maintained WM contents, indicative of a guidance effect. Herein, taking results of behavior and 22 attention sensitive ERPs together, we found that the selective attention was influenced by both the 23maintained and the inhibited WM contents. However, these influences by the maintained and the 24inhibited contents were different, corresponding to their separate operations during WM 25processing. Therefore, the operation-directed selection was supported that suggests the 26perceptually matching content is selected depending on its operation under executive attention. 27Interestingly, we found that the selective attention reflected in P3 as an attentioanl updating at late 28stage was equally modulated by the maintained and the inhibited WM contents.

1 Behavioral performance showed that the selective attention was separately modulated by the 2maintained WM contents and the inhibited WM contents because of different operations. Note 3that, both of the WM contents were memorized initially but then were cued to distinct operations. 4Thus, it was the operation of the contents that made them under executive attention and easily 5accessible, which is assumed to influence the attentional selection . Moreover, the influences by 6the maintained contents and the inhibited contents were different, demonstrating an adverse effect 7with the maintained WM content and a beneficial effect with the inhibited WM content. The 8distinct patterns of attentional effects were in line with the prediction of the operation-directed 9selection but not the attention-directed guidance. Therefore, we suggest that contents under 10executive attention affected visual attention depending on their ongoing operations. The present 11study goes beyond previous views by demonstrating that distinct operations of information 12separately determined selective attention to the matching content.

13 The results of ERPs further provided evidence to elucidate the mechanisms of the operation-14directed selection. As for P1 component, it reflected inhibitory process of selective attention at the 15sensory gating stage. The inhibitory mechanism to filter out interference has been suggested in 16 previous studies (Luck et al., 1994; Hillyard et al., 1998), with smaller P1 amplitude for targets 17 presenting in the suppressed area. In current experiment, a smaller amplitude was observed in 18search array with the inhibited WM contents relative to that with the maintained WM contents and 19the neutral contents. The suppressed P1 component for the inhibited WM contents indicated that 20the contents were filtered out from visual attention in the search array. As the suppression of the 21 inhibited WM contents, searching scope was narrowed down, facilitating search and showing a 22beneficial effect. Therefore, the electrophysiological modulation of the inhibited WM contents 23 was consistent with the beneficial effect on behavior. Furthermore, the inhibitory mechanism to 24 filter out specific content has also been observed during WM representation or utilizing a 25template for rejection, the processes of which resemble to the transfer of inhibitory operation of 26WM contents to perceptually matching contents. Thus, the P1 modulation implies that the 27inhibited WM contents were suppressed like the operation during WM.

28 Notably, the attentional guidance by the maintained WM contents was indicated by the N2pc 29amplitude, as what the previous studies have demonstrated . Here, the N2pc component was much

larger in search array with the maintained content than that with the inhibited content and neutral 2contents, without difference between arrays with the inhibited contents and neutral contents. Thus, 3the guidance effect has been observed for the maintained WM contents but not for the inhibited 4WM contents. The guidance effect implies that the maintained WM contents captured visual 5attention, replicating the result of a related study by Kumar et al. (2009). As a result of attentional 6guidance, the maintained WM contents induced more distraction, hindering search for a target in 7the opposite place and resulting in an adverse effect on behavior. According to Desimone (1996), 8 the search template is also kept in WM, thus that the attentional guidance by the maintained WM 9contents may resemble the selective attention directed by an active search template. Actually, the 10attentional guidance by the WM contents in the current dual task is different from that by a search 1 I template, because the former could be detrimental to the search task. Hence, in current study there 12might be a competition for selective attention after the guidance as Duncan and his cooperators 13have revealed. It is worthy to mention that a preliminary inhibition of the memory-relevant 14contents is inapposite in a dual task. Thus, the larger N2pc amplitude elicited by the maintained 15contents should reflect a competition for selective attention between the search target and the 16maintained WM content, revealing a re-deployment of attention to search target after a prior 17guidance in a neuroimaging study. In the meanwhile, it was reasonable with no sign of attentional 18guidance of the inhibited WM contents, since they were just suppressed at the sensory gate (P1 19component). Taking together, the behavior performance is in accordance with the early ERP 20evidence, illustrating that it is the operation of the WM contents determines the selective attention 21of matching contents. We suggest that the operation-directed selection is probably due to a transfer 22of the inner operation to the perceptual selection on the same contents.

Moreover, findings of P3 amplitude provided convincing evidence to support that both the 24maintained and the inhibited WM contents were under executive attention. The P3 component is 25suggested to reflect a revision of mental representation induced by previous exposures in 26memory . The present results showed that the P3 amplitudes with the maintained and the inhibited 27WM contents were equal, but larger than that with the neutral contents. The larger P3 amplitude 28indicated that the visual scene with WM contents were updated, without distinction between the 29maintained and the inhibited contents. The updating of visual attention might be attributed to a 1requirement of shifting attentional focus, which had been occupied by WM contents previously. 2Hence, we considered that both the maintained and the inhibited WM contents were under 3executive attention, and that the different influences on selective attention of these contents can be 4resulted from their distinct operations. Notably, the attentional shifting, resulted from refreshing 5the visual context in attentional processing, helped to focus on the current search task.

6 However, the conclusion of operation-directed selection obtained here seems contradictory 7with a similar experiment by . Their results demonstrate an attentional guidance by the maintained 8WM items but no influence on selective attention by the inhibited WM items. The discrepant 9results between ours and could be due to difference of difficulty of the WM task. As we see, the 10WM stimuli used by <u>Olivers et al. (2006)</u> were of great similarity, which required precision visual 11representation and enhanced cognitive load. Thus, the high cognitive load leads to a loss of 12cognitive control, which may have hindered appropriate suppression of the task-irrelevant 13information (<u>Lavie, 2000; Lavie et al., 2004</u>; Rissman, Gazzaley, & D'Esposito, 2009; Roberts, 14Hager, & Heron, 1994; Sandrini, Rossini, & Miniussi, 2008). In the case, insufficient inhibitory 15operation of the uncued contents might account for the null effect on visual attention. 16Nevertheless, in the current study we utilized relatively easy task and ensured the maintaining and 17inhibitory operation of the WM contents, showing distinct patterns of influence on selective 18attention and supporting the operation-directed visual selection.

19 The view of operation-directed visual selection contains two aspects, an influence of WM 20contents under executive attention and a selective attention determined by the operation of the 21contents. First of all, the attentional allocation is influenced by contents under executive attention, 22even for memory being retrieved . In other words, the once maintained contents or priming items 23cannot influence visual attention, since they are not under executive attention at that moment . 24However, executive attention is not so obvious in dual task, since the limitation of capacity and 25competition between goals, usually allowing the immediate goal to be attended in the focus of 26attention . Thus, facing an immediate search task, this influence occurs only when the attentional 27focus is dominated by the WM contents; otherwise, it will be absent. Secondly, we go beyond this 28view and propose that the direction of the attentional influence (attend vs. suppress) is determined 29by operation on the executive attention of the WM contents.

1Acknowledgments

2 This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China 3(31170980, 81271477), the Foundation for the Author of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertation 4 of P. R. China (201107), the New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-11-0698), and 5 the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (SWU1009001).

6

7

85 Reference

9Awh, E., Anllo-Vento, L., Hillyard, S.A., 2000. The role of spatial selective attention in working
memory for locations: evidence from event-related potentials. Journal of Cognitive
Neuroscience, 12, 840-847.

12Awh, E., Jonides, J., 2001. Overlapping mechanisms of attention and spatial working memory. Trendsin Cognitive Sciences, 5, 119-126.

14Awh, E., Jonides, J., Smith, E.E., Buxton, R.B., Frank, L.R., Love, T., Wong, E.C., Gmeindl, L., 1999.

Rehearsal in Spatial Working Memory: Evidence From Neuroimaging. Psychological Science, 10,433-437.

17Barcelo, F., Munoz-Cespedes, J.M., Pozo, M.A., Rubia, F.J., 2000. Attentional set shifting modulates
the target P3b response in the Wisconsin card sorting test. Neuropsychologia, 38, 1342-1355.

19Carlisle, N.B., Woodman, G.F., 2011. When memory is not enough: electrophysiological evidence for 20 goal-dependent use of working memory representations in guiding visual attention. Journal of

21 Cognitive Neuroscience, 23, 2650-2664.

22Chan, L.K., Hayward, W.G., Theeuwes, J., 2009. Spatial working memory maintenance: does attentionplay a role? A visual search study. Acta Psychologica, 132, 115-123.

24Chun, M.M., 2011. Visual working memory as visual attention sustained internally over time.25 Neuropsychologia, 49, 1407-1409.

26Conway, A.R., Engle, R.W., 1994. Working memory and retrieval: a resource-dependent inhibition
 model. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 123, 354-373.

28Cowan, N., 2001. The magical number 4 in short-term memory: a reconsideration of mental storagecapacity. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 24, 87-114; discussion 114-185.

30Delorme, A., & Makeig, S. (2004). EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG 31 dynamics including independent component analysis. Journal of neuroscience methods, 134, 9-21.

32Desimone, R., 1996. Neural mechanisms for visual memory and their role in attention. Proceedings of 33 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 93, 13494-13499.

34Desimone, R., Duncan, J., 1995. Neural mechanisms of selective visual attention. Annual review of neuroscience, 18, 193-222.

36Dombrowe, I., Olivers, C.N.L., Donk, M., 2010. The time course of working memory effects on visualattention. Visual Cognition, 18, 1089-1112.

38Donchin, E., 1981. Surprise!... surprise? Psychophysiology, 18, 493-513.

39Downing, P.E., 2000. Interactions between visual working memory and selective attention.

1 Psychological Science, 11, 467-473.

2Downing, P.E., Dodds, C.M., 2004. Competition in visual working memory for control of search.3 Visual Cognition, 11, 689-703.

4Duncan, J., Humphreys, G., Ward, R., 1997. Competitive brain activity in visual attention. Current 5 Opinion in Neurobiology, 7, 255-261.

6Duncan, J., Humphreys, G.W., 1989. Visual search and stimulus similarity. Psychological Review, 96, 433-458.

8Eimer, M., 1993. Spatial cueing, sensory gating and selective response preparation: an ERP study on
 9 visuo-spatial orienting. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology, 88, 408-420.

10Eimer, M., 1994. "Sensory gating" as a mechanism for visuospatial orienting: electrophysiologicalevidence from trial-by-trial cuing experiments. Perception & Psychophysics, 55, 667-675.

12Engle, R.W., 2002. Working memory capacity as executive attention. Current Directions in13 Psychological Science, 11, 19-23.

14Enriquez-Geppert, S., Konrad, C., Pantev, C., Huster, R.J., 2010. Conflict and inhibition differentially
affect the N200/P300 complex in a combined go/nogo and stop-signal task. NeuroImage, 51, 877887.

17Gazzaley, A., Cooney, J.W., Rissman, J., D'Esposito, M., 2005. Top-down suppression deficit underlies
working memory impairment in normal aging. Nature Neuroscience, 8, 1298-1300.

19Guo, C., Duan, L., Li, W., Paller, K.A., 2006. Distinguishing source memory and item memory: brainpotentials at encoding and retrieval. Brain Research, 1118, 142-154.

21Han, S.W., Kim, M.S., 2009. Do the contents of working memory capture attention? Yes, but cognitive
control matters. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 35,
1292-1302.

24Hickey, C., McDonald, J.J., Theeuwes, J., 2006. Electrophysiological evidence of the capture of visual
 attention. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 18, 604-613.

26Hickey, C., Van Zoest, W., Theeuwes, J., 2010. The time course of exogenous and endogenous control of covert attention. Experimental Brain Research, 201, 789-796.

28Hillyard, S.A., Vogel, E.K., Luck, S.J., 1998. Sensory gain control (amplification) as a mechanism of selective attention: electrophysiological and neuroimaging evidence. Philosophical Transactions of

30 the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences, 353, 1257-1270.

31Hofmann, W., Schmeichel, B.J., Baddeley, A.D., 2012. Executive functions and self-regulation. Trends32 in Cognitive Sciences, 16, 174-180.

33Houtkamp, R., Roelfsema, P.R., 2006. The effect of items in working memory on the deployment of
attention and the eyes during visual search. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human
Perception and Performance, 32, 423-442.

36Huang, L., Pashler, H., 2007. Working memory and the guidance of visual attention: consonance-drivenorienting. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14, 148-153.

38Kumar, S., Soto, D., Humphreys, G.W., 2009. Electrophysiological evidence for attentional guidance
by the contents of working memory. European Journal of Neuroscience, 30, 307-317.

40LaBar, K.S., Gitelman, D.R., Parrish, T.B., Mesulam, M., 1999. Neuroanatomic overlap of working
memory and spatial attention networks: a functional MRI comparison within subjects.
NeuroImage, 10, 695-704.

43Lavie, N., 2000. Selective attention and cognitive control: Dissociating attentional functions through 44 different types of load, in: Monsell, S., Driver, J. (Eds.), Attention and performance XVIII. MA: 1 MIT Press, Cambridge, pp. 175-194.

2Lavie, N., Hirst, A., de Fockert, J.W., Viding, E., 2004. Load theory of selective attention and cognitive
 control. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 133, 339-354.

4Luck, S.J., 2006. The operation of attention-millisecond by millisecond-over the first half second,

in: Ogmen, H., Breitmeyer, B.G. (Eds.), The First Half Second: The Microgenesis and Temporal
 Dynamics of Unconscious and Conscious Visual Processes. MA: MIT press, Cambridge.

7Luck, S.J., Heinze, H.J., Mangun, G.R., Hillyard, S.A., 1990. Visual event-related potentials index
focused attention within bilateral stimulus arrays. II. Functional dissociation of P1 and N1
components. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology, 75, 528-542.

10Luck, S.J., Hillyard, S.A., Mouloua, M., Woldorff, M.G., Clark, V.P., Hawkins, H.L., 1994. Effects of

spatial cuing on luminance detectability: psychophysical and electrophysiological evidence for

12 early selection. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 20,13 887-904.

14Luck, S.J., Woodman, G.F., Vogel, E.K., 2000. Event-related potential studies of attention. Trends inCognitive Sciences, 4, 432-440.

16Mangun, G.R.R., Hillyard, S.A., 1987. The spatial allocation of visual attention as indexed by event-related brain potentials. Human factors, 29, 195-211.

18Menneer, T., Barrett, D.J.K., Phillips, L., Donnelly, N., Cave, K.R., 2007. Costs in searching for two

19 targets: Dividing search across target types could improve airport security screening. Applied

20 Cognitive Psychology, 21, 915-932.

21 Miyake, A., Friedman, N.P., Emerson, M.J., Witzki, A.H., Howerter, A., Wager, T.D., 2000. The unity
and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex "Frontal Lobe" tasks: a

23 latent variable analysis. Cognitive Psychology, 41, 49-100.

24Moher, J., Lakshmanan, B. M., Egeth, H. E., & Ewen, J. B. (2014). Inhibition Drives Early Feature25 Based Attention. Psychological Science, 25, 315-324.

26Munsell, A.H., 1942. Munsell book of color Munsell Color Company, Inc., Baltimore.

27Oberauer, K., 2002. Access to information in working memory: exploring the focus of attention.
28 Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 28, 411-421.

29Olivers, C.N., Meijer, F., Theeuwes, J., 2006. Feature-based memory-driven attentional capture: visual

working memory content affects visual attention. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human
 Perception and Performance, 32, 1243-1265.

32Olivers, C.N., Peters, J., Houtkamp, R., Roelfsema, P.R., 2011. Different states in visual working
memory: when it guides attention and when it does not. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15, 327334.

35Peters, J.C., Goebel, R., Roelfsema, P.R., 2009. Remembered but unused: the accessory items in 36 working memory that do not guide attention. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 21, 1081-1091.

37Rissman, J., Gazzaley, A., & D'Esposito, M. (2009). The effect of non-visual working memory load on
top-down modulation of visual processing. Neuropsychologia, 47, 1637-1646.

39Roberts, R. J., Hager, L. D., & Heron, C. (1994). Prefrontal cognitive processes: Working memory and
 inhibition in the antisaccade task. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 123, 374-393.

41Rosen, V.M., Engle, R.W., 1998. Working memory capacity and suppression. Journal of Memory and 42 Language, 39, 418-436.

43Rugg, M.D., Doyle, M.C., Wells, T., 1995. Word and nonword repetition within- and across-modality: 44 an event-related potential study. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 7, 209-227.

chinaXiv:201803.00088v3

- 1Rushby, J.A., Barry, R.J., Doherty, R.J., 2005. Separation of the components of the late positivecomplex in an ERP dishabituation paradigm. Clinical Neurophysiology, 116, 2363-2680.
- 3Sandrini, M., Rossini, P. M., & Miniussi, C. (2008). Lateralized contribution of prefrontal cortex in
 controlling task-irrelevant information during verbal and spatial working memory tasks: rTMS
 evidence. Neuropsychologia, 46, 2056-2063.
- 6Soto, D., Heinke, D., Humphreys, G.W., Blanco, M.J., 2005. Early, involuntary top-down guidance of
 attention from working memory. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and
 Performance, 31, 248-261.
- 9Soto, D., Humphreys, G. W., & Heinke, D. (2006). Working memory can guide pop-out search. VisionResearch, 46, 1010-1018.
- 11Soto, D., Rotshtein, P., Kanai, R., 2014. Parietal structure and function explain human variation in
 working memory biases of visual attention. NeuroImage, 89, 289-296.
- 13Theeuwes, J., Kramer, A.F., Irwin, D.E., 2011. Attention on our mind: the role of spatial attention invisual working memory. Acta Psychologica, 137, 248-251.
- 15Ullsperger, M., Mecklinger, A., Muller, U., 2000. An electrophysiological test of directed forgetting:the role of retrieval inhibition. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 12, 924-940.
- 17Vogel, E.K., McCollough, A.W., Machizawa, M.G., 2005. Neural measures reveal individualdifferences in controlling access to working memory. Nature, 438, 500-503.
- 19Woodman, G.F., Luck, S.J., 2003. Serial deployment of attention during visual search. Journal of
 20 Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 29, 121-138.
- 21Woodman, G.F., Luck, S.J., 2007. Do the contents of visual working memory automatically influence
- attentional selection during visual search? Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human
 Perception and Performance, 33, 363-377.
- 24Yamaguchi, S., Hale, L.A., D'Esposito, M., Knight, R.T., 2004. Rapid prefrontal-hippocampal 25 habituation to novel events. The Journal of Neuroscience, 24, 5356-5363.
- 26Zanto, T.P., Gazzaley, A., 2009. Neural suppression of irrelevant information underlies optimal working
 memory performance. The Journal of Neuroscience, 29, 3059-3066.
- 28